REMARKS

The indication that Claims 10 and 11 contain allowable subject matter is noted with appreciation. The limitation of Claim 10 has been placed in Claim 1 and Claim 10 has been canceled. Because Claim 11 contains a Markush group with fewer members than Claim 1, Claim 11 has been left unchanged. A minor change has been made to Claim 12. The claims before the Examiner are Claims 1-9 and 11-22.

The objection to Claim 12 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as allegedly failing further to limit the subject matter of a previous claim is noted. The Examiner stated that Applicants are required to cancel the claim but the claim remains because it is believed it is more restrictive in specifying that the translucent Ce center ion is trivalent Ce; thus Claim 12 is more limiting than Claim 1 and should remain. If the Examiner, after considering the foregoing explanation still believes further changes are to be made, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

The rejection of Claims 1-9, 12, 13, 15-20, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as anticipated by Setlur et al. '442 and the rejection of Claims 1-9 and 12-22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Setlur et al. '442 are moot in view of the change to Claim 1.

Application No. 10/776,339 Reply to Office Action of August 2, 2006

In view of the foregoing revisions and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in immediate condition for allowance and a USPTO paper to those ends is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned if additional changes are required in the case prior to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 03/06) Richard L. Treanor Registration No. 36,379

Charles A. Wendel Registration No. 24,453